



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,230		10/11/2001	Meroni Bruno	J118-104 US	9595
21706	7590	01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
	1 1	CHALOS	CANTELMO, GREGG		
100 DUTCH HILL ROAD SUITE 110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORANGEBURG, NY 10962-2100				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mi			
۲	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/975,230	BRUNO, MERONI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregg Cantelmo	1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start period by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the management of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Of	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur riod will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. Some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	<u> 4 November 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 40-43 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 40-43 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideratic					
	ion Papers	·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the at	ached Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominice a specific reference was included in the 17 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominice as a claim for dominication of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominication of the first sentence of	ents have been received ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) list of the certified copie estic priority under 35 Les first sentence of the sprovisional application estic priority under 35 Les first priority under 35 Les firs	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stag). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applecification or in an Application Data has been received. J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. pecific			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 er:				

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 24, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. In response to the amendment received November 24, 2003:
 - a. Claims 23-39 are cancelled. Claims 40-43 are pending;
 - b. The specification objection is overcome in light of the cancellation of claims 23-39;
 - c. The 112 first paragraph rejection is overcome in light of the cancellation of claims 23-39;
 - d. The prior art rejection of record is withdrawn in light of the amendment.

 Note that that item 2 on page 7 of the amendment indicates active claims 40-49.

 It is understood that this should be more accurately identified as pending claims 40-43 (since there are no claims 44-49).

Art Unit: 1745

Specification

3. The amendment filed November 24, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the amended disclosure to page 4 of the specification does not appear to have support in the originally filed disclosure. For example the original application does not fairly teach or suggest of the scope of the arrangement such as the "substantially rectilinear" limitation. There is nothing in the original disclosure which supports the breadth of this limitation.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 40-43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations of claim 40-43do not appear to have support in the originally filed disclosure. For example the original application does not fairly teach or suggest of the scope of the arrangement such as the "substantially

Art Unit: 1745

rectilinear" limitation. There is nothing in the original disclosure which supports the breadth of this limitation. Thus this is held to be new matter. Note that although claims 42 and 43 are drawn to non-existent claims 51 and 50, respectively it is assumed that these claims are preferably dependent upon at least one of the current pending independent claims and as such would also be included in this rejection.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. The term "substantially rectilinear" in claims 40-43 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "substantially rectilinear" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The original disclosure does not provide disclosure pertaining to arrangements which the original disclosure appreciated as being "substantially rectilinear". Thus it is unclear as to the scope of arrangements which the claim is limiting itself to since it is unclear as to what arrangements were appreciated as being "substantially rectilinear". Note that although claims 42 and 43 are drawn to non-existent claims 51 and 50, respectively it is assumed that these claims are preferably dependent upon at least one of the current pending independent claims and as such would also be included in this rejection.
- 8. Claims 42 and 43 are indefinite since they are dependent upon non-existent claims 51 and 50, respectively. Absent clarity, each of the definite article limitations in claims 42 and 43 additionally lack antecedent basis for such limitations (such as "the

Art Unit: 1745

seat" and "the stiffening frame" in claims 42 as well as "the outwardly projecting edge" and "the tray" in claim 43).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. Note that these telephone numbers will change around January 1, 2004. At such time the examiners new telephone number will be (571) 272-1283 and the examiner's supervisor's number will be (571) 272-1292. FAX communications should be sent to FAX number: (703) 872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gregg Cantelmo Patent Examiner Art Unit 1745

ac

December 21, 2003

y Canto